

Partidge Kausa  
March 2, 1955

Dear Harvey Graber & family,

Greeting you in the name of Jesus!

I returned at 4 this morning from a visit to Hillcrest Home, meeting Harry W. there. Among the many things discussed was this publication problem, and at great length. Today I also received a letter from Henry Yoder, who also wrote about it. There is considerable doubt that the proposed plan would be the proper procedure, in view of this fact, along with the clause in the "minutes" that such a recommendation be approved by the committee, I feel you had better not go ahead with the publishing of the portion of the document in the forthcoming issue, which according to Harry you are planning to do.

I send "Witnessing" over the large mailing list over a period of time would be following the same method R. Manacci used, which to refrain from this was one of the reasons for starting Witnessing in the first place. I am wondering if the reaction of such, may not spin on the very thing we are working against. To send out the document by itself, in portions or whole would be quite different thing, than putting it in witnessing. Wouldn't it attach such a stigma to it that it would be tagged, forbidden, and perhaps condemned by the "solid block"? I naturally don't know the outcome. But I feel we should use extreme caution, and exercise patience in the matter.

We want to take action on the matter, perhaps in the form of a motion, or some way, soon. But I don't feel we should go ahead without it.

I want to enclose a few names to place on, or check if they are on your mailing list.

Let's continue to pray about it.

Sincerely,  
Mallou

2/20/55

Dear Harvey

We received the Witnessing and think it is a very good issue. I don't think the editorial is too strong. According to your letter I expected it to be stronger. I got the bill a week ago, and paid it.

Enclosed find a copy of the Minutes. We are sending a copy to all members present at the meeting.

If the Lord tarries and leads on we want to meet Harry at Hillcrest this weekend. We want to discuss the publication deal, and then have it approved or rejected by the committee, according to the minutes.

As there was no definite ~~commitment~~ commitment on a duplicator, I thought of this for the present. They have one at Hillcrest, and I think if we have only occasional use for one, they may cooperate, as they did on these minutes, and print things for us. We'll perhaps discuss this further, on the visit.

Aufwiederhören

Mahlou

Partridge, Kans  
Jan 30, 1955

Harvey Graber  
Goshen, Ind.

Dear Harvey - Greetings in Jesus who said,  
"He that believeth on me as the scriptures saith -" Jn 7-3.

I hope by the time you get this you will have also  
~~have~~ received the mailing list. I sent it off this  
week. I got it on Saturday and did considerable work  
on it in the few days it was here. Really it would  
be interesting to take care of it, but there is a limit  
to what one person can do, and you are the logical person  
to have it.

So you fail to see the common sense reason for not launching  
into a new periodical. Well, to me it seems this way  
of sending out Witnessing as you are planning to do, looks  
like a lot more common sense than the other way.  
The mailing list should be built up first. The  
ones I talked to were definitely not in favor of  
a new publication, but that we should get more  
support for the ones already in operation. This  
idea of covering certain churches or counties  
sounds good to me, then the situation could  
more or less cool off from the reactions,  
should there be some of the severe type, and it  
would all be on a more local scale.

Regarding finances for the pub. work on your  
end of the deal, I have written to Harry and  
Daniel on a proposed set-up, and there  
will probably be something worked out.  
Wishing you the blessing of God.  
→ → → allow

Partridge, Kansas  
September 28, 1954

Harvey Graber  
Goshen? IND.

Dear Harvey, Greetings,  
Perhaps there is a difference in the interpretation of the word 'immediately'. In France they used to say immediately meant in three days and tomorrow meant sometime. So I kept thinking that I should answer Harvey, but -- well, here's my letter (if I get it finished to-night)


And you had guessed so right about the hopelessness of the pale carbon pages, and now I'll pass the buck a little. There was some money sent in towards buying a duplicator for the work, and I sent a note of it to the secretary, and he must have done exactly as much about it from that point as I did, which was nothing.

The statement is very good, and to criticize something, one should have something better to present, and I don't. I do think it is too lengthy, but as yet I have not decided where it should be shortened. I have been quite busy the last while with the new addition in the family and other things, drilling wheat, filling silos, etc. and have not studied it very critically.

I am enclosing the list of names and added a few. In a way it might be good to have more endorsers and not too many revisers however I marked quite a number as revisers.

My head is hurting so that I can hardly think straight this evening, so I'll be signing off, wishing you and yours the blessings of our Loving God.

Sincerely,

  
Mahlon